## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JILL BABCOCK, et al.,	
PLAINTIFFS, -vs- STATE OF MICHIGAN, et al.	Case No. 22-cv-12951 Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith
DEFENDANTS, Jointly and Severally.	

## **Stipulated Order Withdrawing Answer to Amended Complaint**

The City of Detroit, by its counsel, hereby advise the Court that due to a miscommunication, the City filed on May 31, 2023 an answer to the First Amended Complaint when the City will be filing a motion to dismiss on June 2, 2023.

Upon stipulation of the parties through their respective counsel, IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that the City of Detroit's *ANSWER to Amended Complaint* filed

May 31, 2023, be and hereby is withdrawn and stricken, and the City shall be
allowed to file a motion to dismiss on June 2, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
	Mark A. Goldsmith
	United States District Court Judge

## Stipulated to by:

/s/ Michael Bartnik (w/ consent) Michael W. Bartnik (P32534) Law For Baby Boomers, PLLC Attorney for Plaintiffs /s/ Kimberly Pendrick (w/consent)
Kimberly Pendrick (P60348)
Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Defendant State of
Michigan

/s/ Charles Raimi
Charles N. Raimi (P29746)

Jesse Halfon (P66936) Attorneys for Defendant City of Detroit /s/ Monica Hunt (w/ consent)
Monica N. Hunt (P68838)
Counsel for Detroit Building
Authority

/s/ Paul Magy (w/ consent)
Paul S. Magy (P34423)
Gregory N. Longworth (P49249)
CLARK HILL PLC
Attorneys for Defendants
DWJBA and Hines

/s/ Angela Baldwin (w/ consent)
E. Powell Miller (P39487)
Melvin B. Hollowell (P37834)
Sharon S. Almonrode (P33938)
Angela L. Baldwin (P81565)
THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants, Wayne
County and Wayne County Building
Authority